

On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian and vague convergence of the curvature at infinity

Hironori Kumura

Department of Mathematics, Shizuoka University
Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan
E-mail address: smhkumu@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp

Abstract

We shall prove that under some volume growth condition, the essential spectrum of the Laplacian contains the interval $[(n-1)^2K/4, \infty)$ if an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold has an end and the average of the part of the Ricci curvature on the end which lies below a nonpositive constant $(n-1)K$ converges to zero at infinity.

1 Introduction

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold M is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(M)$ and its self-adjoint extension to $L^2(M)$ has been studied by several authors from various points of view. For instance, Donnelly proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). *Let M be an n -dimensional Hadamard manifold, x_0 a fixed point of M , and K a nonpositive constant. For a two plane π in T_xM , we denote $K(x, \pi)$ to be the sectional curvature of π . Suppose that*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{|K(x, \pi) - K|; \text{dist}(x, x_0) \geq s\} = 0.$$

Then the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$ of $-\Delta$ is equal to $[-(n-1)^2K/4, \infty)$.

In this paper, we shall consider the case that the part of the Ricci curvature on an end of M which lies below a nonpositive constant converges to zero in some average sense at infinity and some volume condition holds. And we study the essential spectrum of the Laplacian. A modification of Petersen-Wei's Lemma 2.2 in [14] is of crucial importance in our argument (see Lemma 2.3 in Section 2).

Let us state our results more precisely. Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists an open subset U of M with compact smooth boundary ∂U such that the outward pointing normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - U$ induces a diffeomorphism. Denote $r(*) = \text{dist}(\partial U, *)$ on $M - U$ and let $(r, \theta) \in [0, \infty) \times \partial U$ be the geodesic coordinates induced from this diffeomorphism. We shall write the volume form as $dv_M = \sqrt{g}(r, \theta) dr d\theta$ on the end $M - U$, where $d\theta$ stands for the Riemannian measure on ∂U induced from dv_M . For latter use, we shall say that a plane

$\pi \subset T_x M$ ($x \in M - U$) is a radial plane if π contains ∇r , and by radial curvature we mean the restriction of the sectional curvature to all the radial planes.

We introduce a new measure on the end $M - U$, which will be important tool in our arguments. For a given C^2 -function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f''(t) = 0,$$

we introduce a measure μ_f on $M - U$ by

$$\mu_f(A) = \int_A \exp(-cr(x) + f(r(x))) dv_M(x),$$

where A is a measurable subset of $M - U$, $c = (n-1)\sqrt{-K}$ is a constant, and K is a nonpositive constant. We also write $d\mu_f = \exp(-(n-1)\sqrt{-K}r + f(r))dv_M$. Moreover, we shall use the convenient notation

$$\frac{1}{\mu_f(A)} \int_A h(x) d\mu_f(x) = \int_A h(x) d\mu_f(x),$$

for the mean value of h in A , when $\mu_f(A) > 0$. This measure $d\mu_f$ is natural to consider the essential spectrum of the Laplacian, because the function $\exp(-(n-1)\sqrt{-K}r + f(r(x)))$ cancels out the growth part of test functions and reduces our situation to subexponential growth case.

Typical examples of the function f are $f(t) = t^\theta$, $t/\log t$, $t/\log(\log t)$, and so on, where $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is a constant. In this case, we should note that the weight function decomposes into two parts: the exponential decay part $\exp(-cr(x))$ and subexponential growth part $\exp(f(r))$. The subexponential perturbation $\exp(f(r))$ will have no effect on the essential spectrum of the Laplacian.

In the sequel we will use the following notation:

$$A(a, b) = \{y \in M - U \mid a < \text{dist}(\partial U, y) < b\} \text{ for } 0 \leq a < b.$$

Moreover we write $h_+ = \max\{h, 0\}$ and $h_- = \max\{-h, 0\}$ for a function h . In section 2, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists an open subset U of M with compact smooth boundary ∂U such that the outward pointing normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - U$ induces a diffeomorphism. Denote $r(*) = \text{dist}(\partial U, *)$ on $M - U$. We assume that the following three conditions hold:*

(i) *The measure of the end $M - U$ is infinite: $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$;*

(ii) *there exists a constant $p > n/2$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(0, t)} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p d\mu_f = 0;$$

(iii) *there exists a nonnegative constant c_1 such that $\Delta r \geq -c_1$ on $M - U$.*

Then the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$ of $-\Delta$ contains the interval $[(n-1)^2 K, \infty)$.

We explain our assumptions in Theorem 1.2. The assumption (i) implies that the volume growth is greater than or equal to exponential except for the

subexponential growth part. When the curvature of $M - U$ converges to a constant $K \leq 0$ from *above* at infinity, $\mu_0(M - U) < \infty$ ($f \equiv 0$) may occurs. But choosing f appropriately, we will be able to get the situation $\mu_f(M - U) = \infty$ in many cases. The assumption (i) and (ii) mean that the average of the part of the Ricci curvature on the end $M - U$ which lies below a nonpositive constant $(n - 1)K$ converges to zero *at infinity*.

Next we should add some comments about the condition (iii) $\Delta r \geq -c_1$. If M satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, Δr approaches to $(n - 1)\sqrt{-K}$ at infinity and hence the condition (iii) $\Delta r \geq -c_1$ in Theorem 1.2 is indeed satisfied. We also note the following:

Proposition 1.1. *Let M be as in Theorem 1.2.*

- (i) *If the mean curvature of ∂U is nonpositive, i.e., $\Delta r \geq 0$ on ∂U and radial curvature on $M - U$ is nonpositive, then $\Delta r \geq 0$ on $M - U$.*
- (ii) *If there exists a positive constant $A(> K)$ such that $\text{Ric}(\partial r, \partial r) \geq -(n - 1)A$ on $M - U$, then $\Delta r \geq -(n - 1)\sqrt{A}$ on $M - U$.*

Thus, if either of the conditions in Proposition 1.1 holds, then the condition (iii) $\Delta r \geq -c_1$ in Theorem 1.2 is satisfied. Proposition 1.1 is proved by using the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry in section 2.

The final remark is that in Theorem 1.2 we do not assume that the average of the part of the curvature which lies *above* K converges to zero at infinity, but we only assume that (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$. This condition (i) bounds the curvature from the above very mildly, which seems to be worthy of note.

2 Proof

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 by transplantation method and Proposition 1.1 by the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry.

Theorem 1.2 readily follows from the following five lemmas. In the following, we simply denote $c = (n - 1)\sqrt{-K}$. First, we note the following obvious lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists an open subset U of M with compact smooth boundary ∂U such that the outward pointing normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - U$ induces a diffeomorphism. For any constants $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and monotone increasing sequence $\{b_i\}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \infty$, define the sequence $\{a_i\}$ by $\mu_f(A(0, a_i)) = \delta \cdot \mu_f(A(0, b_i))$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \infty$.
- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be as above. Suppose that for any constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and monotone increasing sequence $\{d_i\}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i = \infty$, there exists a sequence $\{a_i\}$ such that*

- (i) $\mu_f(A(0, a_i)) = \delta \cdot \mu_f(A(0, d_i));$
- (ii) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (d_i - a_i) = \infty;$

$$(iii) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r - c|^2 d\mu_f = 0.$$

Then the essential spectrum of $-\Delta$ contains the interval $[c^2/4, \infty)$.

Proof. For an arbitrary increasing sequence $\{d_i\}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i = \infty$, we define sequences $0 < a_i < b_i < c_i < d_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) by the following equation:

$$\mu_f(A(0, a_i)) = \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i)) = \mu_f(A(b_i, c_i)) = \mu_f(A(c_i, d_i)).$$

Then, our assumptions imply

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (b_i - a_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (c_i - b_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (d_i - c_i) = \infty, \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r - c|^2 d\mu_f = 0. \quad (2)$$

Indeed, first, we see that (i) and (ii) imply that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} c_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (d_i - c_i) = \infty$. Then, since $\frac{1}{2}\mu_f(A(0, b_i)) = \mu_f(A(0, a_i))$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \infty$, (i) and (ii) with d_i replaced by b_i imply $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (b_i - a_i) = \infty$. Similarly, we see that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (c_i - b_i) = \infty$.

In view of (1), we can choose a sequence of functions $\varphi_i \in C_0^2(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(t) &= 1 \quad (b_i \leq t \leq c_i); \quad \varphi_i(t) = 0 \quad (t \leq a_i, \quad d_i \leq t); \\ 0 &\leq \varphi_i(t) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}; \\ \sup |\varphi'| &\leq A_i, \quad \sup |\varphi''| \leq A_i, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\lambda > c^2/4$, we define functions

$$\phi_i(x) := \sqrt{v_i} \cdot \varphi_i(r(x)) \cdot \exp\left(ar(x) + \frac{f(r(x))}{2}\right) \in C_0^2(M - U),$$

where we set $v_i = \mu_f(A(a_i, d_i))^{-1}$ and $a = -\frac{c}{2} + \sqrt{-\left(\lambda - \frac{c^2}{4}\right)} \in \mathbf{C}$. Then, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta\phi_i + \lambda\phi_i) &= \sqrt{v_i}\varepsilon(r) \exp\left(ar + \frac{f(r)}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{v_i}\{\varphi'_i(r) + \varphi_i(r)f'(r)/2\} \cdot \Delta r \cdot \exp\left(ar + \frac{f(r)}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{v_i}a\varphi_i(r)(\Delta r - c) \cdot \exp\left(ar + \frac{f(r)}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(r) &= \varphi''_i(r) + 2a\varphi'_i(r) + \varphi'_i(r)f'(r) + \varphi_i(r)f''(r)/2 \\ &\quad + a\varphi_i(r)f'(r) + \varphi_i(r)(f'(r))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M-U} |\Delta\phi_i + \lambda\phi_i|^2 dv_M &\leq c_2 (A_i^2 + A_i^4 + B_i^2 + B_i^4) \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} d\mu_f \\ &\quad + 2 (A_i^2 + B_i^2) \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r|^2 d\mu_f \\ &\quad + 2|a|^2 \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r - c|^2 d\mu_f, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_i = \max\{\sup_{t \geq a_i} |f'(t)|, \sup_{t \geq a_i} |f''(t)|\}$ and c_2 is a constant which depends only on a . Since

$$A_i^2 \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r|^2 d\mu_c \leq 2A_i^2 \left(|c|^2 + \int_{A(a_i, d_i)} |\Delta r - c|^2 d\mu_f \right),$$

we see from (2) and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i = 0$ that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\Delta\phi_i + \lambda\phi_i|^2 dv_M = 0. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand,

$$1 \geq \int_M |\phi_i|^2 dv_M \geq v_i \cdot \mu_f(A(b_i, c_i)) = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (4)$$

In view of our construction of $\{\phi_i\}$, (3) and (4) imply that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$. Since $\lambda > c^2/4$ is arbitrary, we obtain $[c^2/4, \infty) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$. $\square$

A modification of the argument of the proof of Lemma 2.2 in Petersen-Wei [14] yields the following lemma, which is of crucial importance in our argument.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists an open subset U of M with compact smooth boundary ∂U such that the outward pointing normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - U$ induces a diffeomorphism. Let $K \leq 0$ be a constant and set $c = (n-1)\sqrt{-K}$. As a technical condition, we assume that $c \geq f'(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. Then if $p > n/2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^r \{ \Delta r(r, \theta) - h_K(r) \}_+^{2p} \exp(-cr + f(r)) \sqrt{g}(r, \theta) dr \\ &\leq c_2(n, p) \int_0^r \{ (n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r) \}_+^p \exp(-cr + f(r)) \sqrt{g}(r, \theta) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2(n, p)$ is a constant depending only on n and p , and h_K is the solution to

$$h'_K(t) + \frac{h_K^2(t)}{n-1} = -(n-1)K, \quad (5)$$

with initial condition $h_K(0) = a_0$, where $a_0 \geq 0$ is a constant satisfying $a_0 \geq \max_{\partial U} \Delta r$.

Proof. We set $\Delta r = h(r, \theta)$, then h satisfies the differential inequality

$$\partial_r h + \frac{h^2}{n-1} \leq -\text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r).$$

For simplicity, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(r, \theta) &:= \max\{0, (n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}, \\ \psi(r, \theta) &:= \max\{0, h(r, \theta) - h_K(r)\}\end{aligned}$$

and set $\widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) := \exp(-cr + f(r))\sqrt{g}(r, \theta)$. In the following, we fix $\theta \in \partial U$, therefore we simply write $\rho(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ for $\rho(r, \theta)$ and $\psi(r, \theta)$ respectively. Then we see that ψ is absolutely continuous and satisfies

$$\psi' + \frac{\psi^2}{n-1} + 2\frac{\psi h_K}{n-1} \leq \rho. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, if $(h - h_K)(r_0) > 0$, then $\psi(r)$ coincides with $(h - h_K)(r)$ around r_0 . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\psi' + \frac{\psi^2}{n-1} + 2\frac{\psi h_K}{n-1} &= h' - h'_K + \frac{h^2}{n-1} - \frac{h_K^2}{n-1} \\ &\leq (n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r) \leq \rho\end{aligned}$$

and (6) holds around r_0 . When $(h - h_K)(r_0) = 0$, $\psi(r_0) = 0$ by definition. Moreover, in this case, we may take $\psi'(r_0) = 0$ and (6) also holds at these points because ρ is nonnegative. When $(h - h_K)(r_0) < 0$, $\psi(r) = 0$ around r_0 and hence the left hand side of (6) is zero. Therefore (6) also holds at this point. Thus we conclude that (6) holds for all r .

Multiplying (6) by $\psi^{2p-2} \exp\{-cr + f(r)\}\sqrt{g}(r, \theta)$ and integrating over $[0, r]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^r \rho \psi^{2p-2} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt &\geq \int_0^r \psi' \psi^{2p-2} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt + \frac{1}{n-1} \int_0^r \psi^{2p} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n-1} \int_0^r h_K \psi^{2p-1} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^r \psi' \psi^{2p-2} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &= \left[\frac{\psi^{2p-1} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta)}{2p-1} \right]_0^r - \frac{1}{2p-1} \int_0^r \psi^{2p-1} \{-c + f'(r) + h\} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2p-1} \int_0^r \psi^{2p-1}(t) (f'(t) - c + h_K(t)) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2p-1} \int_0^r \psi^{2p} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\psi(0) = 0$, which follows from our assumption $h_K(0) = a_0 \geq \max\{\Delta r(0, \theta) \mid \theta \in \partial U\}$. Inserting this inequality in the previous one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \rho(t) \psi^{2p-2}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt &\geq \int_0^r \psi^{2p-1}(t) \frac{w(t)}{(n-1)(2p-1)} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right) \int_0^r \psi^{2p}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we set $w(t) = (n-1)(c - f'(t)) + (4p - n - 1)h_K(t)$. Since $h_K(t)$ satisfies (5) and the initial condition $h_K(0) = a_0 \geq 0$, we see that $h_K(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and, hence, $w(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right) \int_0^r \psi^{2p}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^r \rho(t) \psi^{2p-2}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^r \rho^p(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\int_0^r \psi^{2p}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right) \left(\int_0^r \psi^{2p}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_0^r \rho^p(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \right)^{1/p},$$

that is,

$$\int_0^r \psi^{2p}(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt \leq \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right)^{-p} \int_0^r \rho^p(t) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) dt.$$

Thus, we obtain the desired inequality with $c_2(n, p) = \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right)^{-p}$. $\square$

Remark 2.1. We need not worry about the technical condition $c \geq f'(t)$ in Lemma 2.3, because our condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$ will enable us to disperse it.

Lemma 2.4. Let $M, r, K, c = (n-1)\sqrt{-K}$ be as above and assume the following:

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$;
- (ii) There exists a constant $p > n/2$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(0, t)} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p d\mu_f = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dt} \log(\mu_f(A(0, t))) = 0. \quad (7)$$

In particular, for any monotone increasing sequence $\{b_i\}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \infty$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, define a sequence $\{a_i\}$ by $\mu_f(A(a_i, b_i)) = \delta \cdot \mu_f(A(0, b_i))$. Then we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (b_i - a_i) = \infty$.

Proof. We again set $\widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) := \exp(-cr + f(r))\sqrt{g}(r, \theta)$ for the sake of simplicity. First we note that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_K(r) = (n-1)\sqrt{-K}$ because $h_K(0) = a_0 \geq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and take sufficiently large $s_1 \geq 0$. For $t > s_1$, integrating the equation $\partial_r \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) = \{(\Delta r)(r, \theta) - c + f'(r)\} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta)$ over $[s_1, t] \times \partial U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) d\theta - \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(s_1, \theta) d\theta \\
&= \int_{s_1}^t dr \int_{\partial U} \{\Delta r - c + f'(r)\} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) d\theta \\
&= \int_{s_1}^t dr \int_{\partial U} \{\Delta r - h_K(r)\} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) d\theta \\
&\quad + \int_{s_1}^t dr \int_{\partial U} (h_K(r) - c + f'(r)) \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) d\theta \\
&\leq \int_{A(0, t)} \{\Delta r - h_K(r)\}_+ d\mu_f + \varepsilon \mu_f(A(s_1, t)) \\
&\leq \mu_f(A(0, t)) \left(\int_{A(0, t)} \{\Delta r - h_K(r)\}_+^{2p} d\mu_f \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} + \varepsilon \mu_f(A(s_1, t)) \\
&\leq \mu_f(A(0, t)) c_3(n, p) \left(\int_{A(0, t)} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p d\mu_f \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \mu_f(A(s_1, t)),
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality above, we have used Lemma 2.3. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(0 \leq) \frac{d}{dt} \log \mu_f(A(0, t)) &= \mu_f(A(0, t))^{-1} \int_{S^{n-1}(1)} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(t, \theta) d\theta \\
&\leq \mu_f(A(0, t))^{-1} \int_{S^{n-1}(1)} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(s_1, \theta) d\theta \\
&\quad + c_3(n, p) \left(\int_{A(0, t)} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p d\mu_f \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

and our assumptions (i) and (ii) imply that the equation (7) holds.

Next we shall show that (7) implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (b_i - a_i) = \infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log(\mu_f(A(0, t))) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0. \quad (8)$$

Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \infty$ by Lemma 2.1, there exists i_0 such that $a_i > t_0$ for all $i \geq i_0$.

Thus, for any $i \geq i_0$, integrating this inequality (7) over $[a_i, b_i]$, we obtain

$$0 < -\log(1 - \delta) = \log \frac{\mu_f(A(0, b_i))}{\mu_f(A(0, a_i))} \leq \varepsilon(b_i - a_i).$$

Hence, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (b_i - a_i) = \infty$. □

Lemma 2.5. *We assume that the following three conditions hold:*

(i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$;

(ii) *there exists a constant $p > n/2$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(0, t)} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p d\mu_f = 0;$$

(iii) *there exists a constant $c_1 \geq 0$ such that $\Delta r \geq -c_1$.*

If we define the sequence $\{a_i\}$ by $\mu_f(A(0, a_i)) = \delta \cdot \mu_f(A(0, b_i))$ for any monotone increasing sequence $\{b_i\}$ with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_i = \infty$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then the condition (iii) in Lemma 2.2 holds.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} d\theta \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \{(\Delta r)(r, \theta) - h_K(r)\}_+^{2p} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) dr \\ & \leq \frac{c_2(n, p)}{(1-\delta)\mu_f(A(0, b_i))} \int_{\partial U} d\theta \int_0^{b_i} \{(n-1)K - \text{Ric}(\partial_r, \partial_r)\}_+^p \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) dr \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of the fact that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_K(r) = (n-1)\sqrt{-K} = c$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c\}_+^2 d\mu_f \\ & \leq \left(\int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c\}_+^{2p} d\mu_f \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, integrating the equation $\widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(b_i, \theta) - \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(a_i, \theta) = \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \partial_r \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) dr$ over ∂U , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(b_i, \theta) d\theta - \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(a_i, \theta) d\theta \\ & = \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} d\theta \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \partial_r \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(r, \theta) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Here, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(b_i, \theta) d\theta \\ & = \frac{\mu_f(A(0, b_i))}{\mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))} \cdot \mu_f(A(0, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(b_i, \theta) d\theta \\ & = (1-\delta)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=b_i} \log \mu_f(A(0, t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_f(A(a_i, b_i))^{-1} \int_{\partial U} \widetilde{\sqrt{g}}(a_i, \theta) d\theta \\ & = \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=a_i} \log \mu_f(A(0, t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c\} d\mu_f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c + f'(r)\} d\mu_f = 0.$$

Therefore, in view of Hölder's inequality, (9) implies that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c\}_- d\mu_f = 0.$$

Here, since $\{\Delta r - c\}_- = \max\{0, -(\Delta r - c)\} \leq c_1 + c$ on $M - U$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A(a_i, b_i)} \{\Delta r - c\}_-^2 d\mu_f = 0. \quad (10)$$

The equations (9) and (10) give the desired equality. $\square$

From five lemmas above and Remark 2.1, we see that Theorem 1.2 holds.

Proof of Proposition 1.1

Since (i) immediately follows from the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry, we shall omit its proof. Hence we shall only prove (ii). Assuming that there exists a point $(r_0, \theta_0) \in M - U$ such that $(\Delta r)(r_0, \theta_0) < -(n-1)\sqrt{A}$, we shall obtain a contradiction. Let us set $h(r) = (\Delta r)(r, \theta_0)$ for $r \geq r_0$. Moreover let $f(r) : [r_0, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to the differential equation

$$f' + \frac{f^2}{n-1} - (n-1)A = 0; \quad f(r_0) = (\Delta r)(r_0, \theta_0),$$

where $[r_0, l)$ is the maximal interval of existence of the solution. Then the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry implies $h(r) \leq f(r)$ on $[r_0, l)$. But the solution f is expressed as follows:

$$f(r) = -(n-1)\sqrt{A} \frac{1 + a_0 e^{2\sqrt{A}r}}{1 - a_0 e^{2\sqrt{A}r}},$$

where $a_0 > 0$ is the constant determined by $a_0 e^{2\sqrt{A}r_0} = (B-1)/(B+1)$ and $B = -(\Delta r)(r_0, \theta_0)/(n-1)\sqrt{A} > 1$. Hence $l = r_0 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{A}} \log \left(\frac{B+1}{B-1} \right) < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow l-0} f(r) = -\infty$. This contradicts the fact that $h(r)$ is defined for all $r \geq r_0$. Therefore, $\Delta r \geq -(n-1)\sqrt{A}$ on $M - U$ and this completes the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Finally, we note that the condition (i) can be replaced by a more familiar one for geometers:

Lemma 2.6. *Let us set $V(t) = \text{Vol}(A(0, t))$. Then we see the following:*

(i) *If $c > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-ct + f(t))V(t) = \infty$ implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$.*

(ii) *If $c = 0$, we add an assumption $f' \geq 0$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(f(t))V(t) = \infty$ implies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f(A(0, t)) = +\infty$.*

Proof. We write $S(r) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(\partial_+ A(0, r))$, where $\partial_+ A(0, r) = \{y \in M - U \mid \text{dist}(\partial U, y) = r\}$. Then integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_f(A(0, t)) &= \int_0^t \exp(-cr + f(r)) S(r) dr \\ &= [\exp(-cr + f(r)) V(r)]_0^t - \int_0^t (-c + f'(r)) \exp(-cr + f(r)) V(r) dr \\ &= \exp(-ct + f(t)) V(t) + \int_0^t (c - f'(r)) \exp(-cr + f(r)) V(r) dr.\end{aligned}$$

In view of our assumption $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f'(t) = 0$, we immediately see that Lemma 2.6 holds. $\square$

References

- [1] R. Brooks, *A relation between growth and the spectrum of the Laplacian*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), 501-508.
- [2] R. Brooks, *On the spectrum of non-compact manifolds with finite volume*, Math. Z., **187** (1984), 425-432.
- [3] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [4] H. Donnelly, *On the essential spectrum of a complete Riemannian manifold*, Topology **20** (1981), 1-14.
- [5] H. Donnelly and N. Garofalo, *Riemannian manifolds whose Laplacians have purely continuous spectrum*, Math. Ann., **293** (1992), 143-161.
- [6] H. Donnelly and P. Li, *Pure point spectrum and negative curvature for noncompact manifolds*, Duke Math. J., **46** (1979), 497-503.
- [7] K. D. Elworthy and F.-Y. Wang, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, preprint.
- [8] J. F. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **11** (1986), 63-85.
- [9] J. F. Escobar and A. Freire, *The spectrum of the Laplacian of manifolds of positive curvature*, Duke Math. J., **65** (1992), 1-21.
- [10] S. Gallot, *Isoperimetric inequalities based on integral norms of Ricci curvature*, Astérisque 157-158 (1988), 191-216.
- [11] L. Karp, *Noncompact manifolds with purely continuous spectrum*, Michigan Math. J., **31** (1984), 339-347.
- [12] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on complete manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **49** (1997), 1-14.
- [13] J. Li, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature which possess(es) a pole*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **46** (1994), 213-216.

- [14] P. Petersen and G. Wei, *Relative volume comparison with integral curvature bounds*, GAFA, Geom. funct. anal., **7** (1997), 1031-1045.